

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,009 08/04/2003		08/04/2003	Daigo Aoki	TJK/406	5043
27717	7590	07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SEYFAR	RTH SHAW	LLP	SANTIAGO, MARICELI		
55 E. MO	NROE STRE	EET			
SUITE 4200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO II 60603-5803				2879	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/634,009	AOKI, DAIGO				
omee neuen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Mariceli Santiago	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdrawn  5)  Claim(s) 15 and 17 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 14 and 16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the second se	n from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-13 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. (US 6,878,470).

Regarding claim 14, Kawamura discloses an electroluminescent element (Fig. 3) comprising at least a first electrode layer (302), a decomposition removal layer (305) which is in the form of pattern on the first electrode layer or on an electric charge injection transportation layer formed on the first electrode layer, and shows different contact angle with liquid from that of the first electrode layer or the electric charge injection transportation layer, an organic electroluminescent layer (306) which is in the form of pattern on the electrode layer or on electric charge injection transportation layer exposed by decomposition and removal of the decomposition removal layer and contains at least a light emitting layer, and a second electrode layer (308) formed on the organic electroluminescent layer.

In regards to the limitation "decomposed and removed by the action of a photocatalyst in irradiation with energy", applicant is claiming the product of a decomposition removal layer including a method (i.e. a process) of making the layer, consequently, claim 15 is considered a "product-by-process" claim. In spite of the fact that a product-by-process claim may recite only process limitations, it is the product and not the recited process that is covered by the claim. Further, patentability of a claim to a product does not rest merely on the difference in the method by which the product is made. Rather, is the product itself which must be new and not obvious. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Accordingly, the structure implied by the process steps would be considered for assessing the patentability of product-by-process claims over the prior art (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claim 16, Kawamura discloses the electroluminescent element wherein the first electrode layer is formed on a base material.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 17 are allowed over the prior art of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome the rejection of claims 14 and 16 because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,009

Art Unit: 2879

Applicant's arguments, see Pages 10-11, filed July 13, 2006, with respect to claims 15 and 17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 15 and 17 has been withdrawn.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/634,009

Art Unit: 2879

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879